

10. A. Lascoux, M. P. Schützenberger, Polynômes de Schubert, *Comptes Rendus* 294 (1982) 447.
11. J. Propp, Enumerations of Matchings: Problems and Progress, *New Perspectives in Geometric Combinatorics*, MSRI Publications, Vol. 37, 1999.
12. R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
13. R. Tošić, S.J. Cyvin, Enumeration of Kekulé structures in benzenoid hydrocarbons: “flounders” *J. Math. Chemistry* 3 (1989) 393–401.

## On Candido’s Identity

CLAUDI ALSINA

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya  
08028 Barcelona, Spain  
claudio.alsina@upc.edu

ROGER B. NELSEN

Lewis & Clark College  
Portland, OR 97219, USA  
nelsen@lclark.edu

Giacomo Candido [1] (1871–1941) proved the equality

$$[F_n^2 + F_{n+1}^2 + F_{n+2}^2]^2 = 2[F_n^4 + F_{n+1}^4 + F_{n+2}^4],$$

where  $F_n$  denotes the  $n$ th Fibonacci number, by observing that for all reals  $x, y$  one has the curious identity

$$[x^2 + y^2 + (x + y)^2]^2 = 2[x^4 + y^4 + (x + y)^4]. \quad (1)$$

Candido’s identity (1) can be easily shown to be true not only in  $\mathbb{R}^+ := [0, \infty)$  but also in any commutative ring and admits a clear visual description as presented recently in [3]. This identity raises the question: is (1) a characteristic property of the polynomial function  $y = x^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ? In order to answer this we reformulate (1) as follows. Let  $f$  be a function from  $\mathbb{R}^+$  into  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$f(f(x) + f(y) + f(x + y)) = 2[f(f(x)) + f(f(y)) + f(f(x + y))]. \quad (2)$$

In general (2) admits trivial solutions like  $f \equiv 0$  as well as many bizarre, highly discontinuous solutions. For example, define  $f$  to be any function from  $\mathbb{R}^+$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$  with the property that  $f(x) = 0$  whenever  $x$  is rational and  $f(x)$  is rational (but arbitrary!) whenever  $x$  is irrational. It is an exercise (try it) to show that every possible combination of rational or irrational values for the inputs  $x$  and  $y$  reduces (2) to the identity  $0 = 0$ . But if we require  $f$  to be a continuous surjection on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  with  $f(0) = 0$ , then we shall show that  $f$  can differ from the squaring function only by a multiplicative constant.

**LEMMA.** *For any two positive real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  with  $0 < a < b$ , there are integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $a < 2^m 3^n < b$ .*

*Proof.* We consider three cases.

Case 1. If  $1 \leq a < b$  then  $0 \leq \log_2(a) < \log_2(b)$  and it follows that  $\log_2(a)/3^n < \log_2(b)/3^n < 1$  for a sufficiently large positive integer  $n$ . Since  $2^p \neq 3^q$  for all integers  $p, q$  such that  $p, q \neq 0$ , we deduce  $p \log 2 \neq q \log 3$ , i.e.,  $\log_2(3) = \log 3 / \log 2$  is clearly irrational (see, e.g., [2]). So it follows from the equidistribution theorem [4,

Theorem 6.2, p. 72] that the sequence  $\log_2(3), 2 \log_2(3), 3 \log_2(3), \dots$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1, i.e., there is some positive integer  $m$  such that

$$\log_2(a)/3^n < \log_2(3^m) - \lfloor \log_2(3^m) \rfloor < \log_2(b)/3^n,$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the greatest integer  $k \leq x$ . Let  $r = \log_2(3^m)$  and let  $s = r - \lfloor r \rfloor$ . Then since  $2^r = 3^m$ , it follows that  $2^s = 3^m/2^{\lfloor r \rfloor}$ . With this notation

$$\log_2(a) < 3^n s < \log_2(b)$$

i.e.,  $a < 2^{(3^n s)} < b$ , whence  $a < (3^m/2^{\lfloor r \rfloor})^{3^n} < b$ . This shows that there is an integral power of 2 times an integral power of 3 between  $a$  and  $b$ .

Case 2. If  $a < 1 < b$  we can use  $n = m = 0$ .

Case 3. If  $0 < a < b \leq 1$  we will have  $1 \leq 1/b < 1/a$  so by case 1 there exist integers  $m, n$  such that  $1/b < 2^m 3^n < 1/a$  and therefore  $a < 2^{-m} 3^{-n} < b$ . ■

Now we prove the following:

**THEOREM.** *A continuous surjective function  $f$  from  $\mathbb{R}^+$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies Candido's equation (2) if and only if*

$$f(x) = kx^2, \tag{3}$$

where  $k > 0$  is an arbitrary constant.

*Proof.* From Candido's equality (1), it follows that (3) satisfies (2). Conversely, assume that  $f$  is a solution of (2) satisfying the above conditions. Since  $f(0) = 0$  the substitution  $y = 0$  into (2) yields that for all  $x \geq 0$ :  $f(2f(x)) = 4f(f(x))$ . Since  $f$  is surjective,  $f(x)$  ranges throughout  $\mathbb{R}^+$  as  $x$  ranges throughout  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , so that if we let  $z = f(x)$ , we have  $f(2z) = 4f(z)$  for all  $z$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . It follows by induction

$$f(2^n z) = (2^n)^2 f(z), \tag{4}$$

for all integers  $n \geq 0$ .

Since  $f(z) = f(2^n(z/2^n)) = (2^n)^2 f(z/2^n)$  we get

$$f(2^{-n} z) = (2^{-n})^2 f(z) \tag{5}$$

for all integers  $n \geq 1$ . Thus from (4) and (5) we can conclude

$$f(2^n z) = (2^n)^2 f(z), \tag{6}$$

for all integers  $n$ . Next, set  $y = x$  in (2) to obtain

$$f(2f(x) + f(2x)) = 4f(f(x)) + 2f(f(2x)),$$

and by virtue of (6), using  $f(2x) = 4f(x)$ , we get:

$$4f(3f(x)) = f(6f(x)) = 4f(f(x)) + 2 \cdot 4^2 \cdot f(f(x)) = 36f(f(x)),$$

i.e., with  $f(x) = z \geq 0$  arbitrary,  $f(3z) = 3^2 f(z)$  and by induction  $f(3^m z) = (3^m)^2 f(z)$ , whenever  $m \geq 0$ . As above,  $f(z) = f(3^m(z/3^m)) = (3^m)^2 f(z/3^m)$  so  $f(3^{-m} z) = (3^{-m})^2 f(z)$  and therefore

$$f(3^m z) = (3^m)^2 f(z), \tag{7}$$

for all integers  $m$ . By means of (6) and (7), we obtain that for all integers  $m, n$ :

$$f(2^n 3^m) = (2^n 3^m)^2 f(1). \quad (8)$$

By our previous lemma any real numbers in  $[0, \infty)$  may be approximated by a sequence in the set  $\{2^n 3^m | n, m \text{ integers}\}$  so from (8) and the continuity of  $f$  we can conclude that for all  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $f(x) = kx^2$ , with  $k = f(1) > 0$  an arbitrary constant. ■

**Acknowledgment.** The authors thank the referees for their helpful remarks and suggestions which improved the final presentation of this paper.

## REFERENCES

1. G. Candido, A Relationship Between the Fourth Powers of the Terms of the Fibonacci Series. *Scripta Mathematica* 17:3–4 (1951) 230.
2. S. Lang, *Introduction to Transcendental Numbers*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1966.
3. R. B. Nelsen, *Proof Without Words: Candido's Identity*, this MAGAZINE, **78** No. 2 (2005) 131.
4. I. Niven, *Irrational Numbers*, Carus. Math. Mono. 11, MAA, Wiley, New York, 1956.

# Monotonic Convergence to $e$ via the Arithmetic-Geometric Mean

JÓZSEF SÁNDOR

Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences  
Babeş-Bolyai University  
Str. Kogălniceanu Nr.1  
400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
jjsandor@hotmail.com

Recently, Hansheng Yang and Heng Yang [3], by using only the arithmetic-geometric inequality, have proved the monotonicity of the sequences  $(x_n)$ ,  $(y_n)$ , related to the number  $e$ :

$$x_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n, \quad y_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+1} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

Such a method probably is an old one and has been applied e.g. in [1], or [2].

We want to show that the above monotonicities can be proved much easier than in [3].

Recall that the arithmetic-geometric inequality says that for  $a_1, \dots, a_k > 0$ , and

$$G_k = G_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \sqrt[k]{a_1 \dots a_k},$$

$$A_k = A_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_k}{k},$$

we have

$$G_k \leq A_k, \quad (1)$$

with equality only when all  $a_i$  are equal.